MEMORANDUM MONROE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

We strive to be caring, professional and fair

To: Planning Commission Bill Harbert, Senior Planning Technician 134 From: Date: February 14, 2007 Through: Aref Joulani, Sr. Director of Planning & Environmental Resources RE: Request for a Variance by Benjamin & Krishina Lowe for 381 Avenue C Big Coppitt Key, Block 9, Lot 1, Johnsonville Subdivision Real Estate Number 00152070.000000 Ι REQUEST: A. Proposal: The Applicant is requesting a Variance of fourteen (14) feet from the required twenty-five (25) foot Third Street front yard setback in the Improved Subdivision (IS) District. As a result, the front yard setback along Third Street would be eleven (11) feet. The granting of this Variance will allow the Applicant to construct a larger replacement single-family residence on a corner lot. B. Location: 1. Island/Mile Marker: Big Coppitt Key, Mile Marker 10 2. Address: 381 Avenue C Legal Description: Block 9, Lot 1, Johnsonville Subdivision 3. Real Estate Number: 00152060.000000 4. C. Applicant: 1. Owner: Benjamin & Krishina Lowe Π PROCESS: Pursuant to Monroe County Code (MCC) Sec. 9.5-524, the Planning Commission is authorized to grant variances for the reduction of non-shoreline setback requirements for front, side and rear yard setbacks. The variance application shall be heard at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Planning Commission. Notice, posting and hearing requirements shall be in

Page 1 of 5

1

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

Reviewed by:

accordance with MCC Sec. 9.5-524. The Planning Commission's decision shall be

in accordance with MCC Sec. 9.5-4. Except for the special accessibility setback

1 2 3		variance provided for in MCC Sec. 9.5-523(g), a variance shall only be granted if the standards in MCC Sec. 9.5-523(g) are met.
4 5	III	PRIOR COUNTY ACTIONS:
6 7 8 9		The property owner received a letter from the Monroe County Planning Department, dated March 20, 2006, stating the replacement dwelling would be exempt from the Residential Rate of Growth Ordinance (ROGO).
10	ſV	BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
11 12 13 14 15 16 17		 A. Size of Site: 5,000 square feet (0.11 acres) B. Land Use District: Improved Subdivision (IS) C. Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Designation: Residential Medium (RM) D. Proposed Tier Designation: Tier III E. Existing Vegetation / Habitat: Developed F. Community Character of Immediate Vicinity: Single-family residential
18 19	V	REVIEW OF APPLICATION:
20 21 22 23 24 25		Pursuant to MCC Sec. 9.5-281, the required non-shoreline setbacks for the Improved Subdivision (IS) District are as follows: Front yard – twenty-five (25) feet; Rear yard – twenty (20) feet; and Side yard – ten (10) / fifteen (15) feet (where ten (10) feet is the required side yard for one side and fifteen (15) feet is the minimum combined total of both side yards).
26 27 28 29 30 31 32		The subject property is a corner lot, situated at the intersection of 3 rd Street and Avenue C on Big Coppitt Key. As a result, the property has two required front yard setbacks of twenty-five (25) along the right-of-ways of the roadways. In addition, the property has a required side yard setback of five (5) feet from the western property line and a required rear yard setback of twenty (20) feet from the northern property line.
33 34 35 36 37 38		The Applicant is requesting a Variance of fourteen (14) feet from the required twenty-five (25) foot front yard setback along 3 rd Street only. As a result, the front yard setback along 3 rd Street would be eleven (11) feet. The granting of a variance will allow the Applicant to construct a single-family residence that is twenty-six (26) foot wide plus a small landing for stairs and overhang.
39 40 41 42		The Applicant asserts that the proposed residence requires a variance so that it may be of a sufficient size.
43 44 45		The nearest developed property on 3 rd Street, located on the parcel directly to the North, has a single-family residence setback approximately fifteen (15) feet from the right-of-way.

46

yard setback. This includes both principal and accessory structures.

The image to the right shows that three parcels to the North of the subject property (outlined in blue) have development setback twenty (20) feet or less to the right-of-way

(the red line indicate a twenty-five (25) foot setback and the yellow

line indicates a eleven (11) foot setback).

In addition, Staff has found that the proposed single-family residence will have little visual impact on the immediate vicinity because most of the neighboring properties along 3rd Street also have structures within the required setback along 3rd Street.



Surrounding property and immediate vicinity

The Applicant is requesting a Variance of fourteen (14) feet from the required twenty-five (25) foot front yard setback along Third Street.

In addition, nearly all of the developed properties along 3rd Street in the

immediate vicinity have developed within the required twenty-five (25) front

Pursuant to MCC Sec. 9.5-523(f), the Planning Commission may grant a variance if the Applicant demonstrates that all of the following standards are met:

A. The Applicant demonstrates a showing of good and sufficient cause;

The Applicant asserts that since the property is a corner lot with two front yard setbacks, the proposed home will not fit if the fourteen (14) foot variance along 3rd Street is not granted.

Staff finds that the Applicant has demonstrated a showing of good and sufficient cause for a variance due to the subject property being a corner lot with two required front yard setbacks. This reduces the amount of as-of-right buildable area in comparison to other similarly sized lots in the immediate vicinity that do not have two front yard setback requirements.

B. Failure to grant the variance would result in exceptional hardship to the Applicant;

The Applicant asserts that without the granting of a variance they would not be able to build the house on the on the property and they would basically own a property that they could not build a house of sufficient size on.

1 2		Pursuant to MCC Sec. 9.5-4 (E-4), exceptional hardship means a burden on a property owner that substantially differs in kind or magnitude from the burden
3		imposed on other similarly situated property owners in the same land use
4		district as a result of adoption of these regulations.
5		
6		Staff finds that failure to grant the variance would result in exceptional hardship
7		to the Applicant in that the subject property is a corner lot with two front yard
8		setbacks which reduces the amount of as-of-right buildable area. In addition,
9		other similarly situated properties along 3 rd Street have structures in the required
10		twenty-five foot front yard setback.
11		
12	C.	Granting the variance will not result in increased public expenses, create a threat to
13		public health and safety, create a public nuisance, or cause fraud or victimization of the
14		public;
15		
16		The applicant asserts that there will not be any threats to public expense and that
17		the proposed development would not create a nuisance, cause fraud or victimize
18		the public.
19		
20		Staff finds that the granting of the variance would not burden public resources or
21		create a health and safety threat, create a nuisance, cause fraud or victimization
22		to the public.
23	_	
24	IJ.	The property has unique or peculiar circumstances, which apply to this property, but
25 26		which do not apply to other properties in the same zoning district;
733		

The Applicant asserts that this is a corner lot making it a unique or peculiar circumstance. The parcel has setbacks from two streets instead of only one and if a variance is not granted the width of an allowable structure would be similar to that of a mobile home.

 Staff finds that the subject property is a corner lot with two front yard setbacks which makes this a unique or peculiar circumstance, which apply to this property, but which do not apply to other properties in the same zoning district.

E. Granting the variance will not give the Applicant any special privilege denied other properties in the immediate neighborhood in terms of the provisions of this chapter or established development patterns;

Staff finds that the granting of the variance would not give the Applicant special privileges denied to other properties in the immediate vicinity.

F. Granting the variance is not based on disabilities, handicaps or health of the Applicant or members of his family;

Staff finds that the granting of the variance is would not be based on disabilities, handicaps or health of the Applicant or members of his family.

Page 4 of 5 Reviewed by:

1 2		G.	Granting the variance is not based on the domestic difficulties of the Applicant or his
3 4			family; and
5 6 7			Staff finds that the granting of the variance is would not be based on the domestic difficulties of the Applicant or his family.
8 9		H.	The variance is the minimum necessary to provide relief to the Applicant.
10 11 12			The Applicant is requesting an adjustment of fourteen (14) feet. This request is the minimum necessary to provide relief.
13 14 15			Staff finds that this request is the minimum necessary to provide relief to the Applicant.
16 17	VI	Ī	RECOMMENDED ACTION:
18 19 20			sed on the review of the application, Planning Staff recommends APPROVAL to Planning Commission for the proposed variance, with the following conditions:
21 22 23		A.	All new development must comply with the Monroe County Code and Florida Building Code.
24 25 26 27 28		В.	The granting of this Administrative Variance is based on the modular home specified in the site plans submitted with the variance application. Work not specified or alterations to the site plans may not be carried out without additional Planning Approval.
29 30 31		C.	This approval is to allow the modular home; however it does not waive the required front yard setback for any other structures.
32 33		V	PLANS REVIEWED:
34 35			A. Monroe County Property Record Card;B. Monroe County Land Use District Map; and

36 37 C. Site Plan of the proposed modular home submitted by the Applicant

